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## Algorithm AS 148

# The Jackknife 

By Norman S. Matloff<br>Division of Statistics, University of California at Davis, Davis, CA, U.S.A.

Keywords: JACKKNIFE

## Language

## ISO Fortran

## Description and Purpose

Suppose $\hat{\theta}$ is an estimate of a parameter $\theta$, based on a random sample $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$; here we allow both $\theta$ and the $X_{i}$ to be vector-valued. For each $i=1, \ldots, n$, define the "pseudovalue" $\hat{\theta}_{J, i}$ to be $n \hat{\theta}-(n-1) \hat{\theta}_{(-i)}$, where $\hat{\theta}_{(-i)}$ is the estimate of $\theta$ which has the same form as $\hat{\theta}$ but which is based on $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. Then $\hat{\theta}_{J}=n^{-1} \Sigma \hat{\theta}_{J, i}$, summing over $i$ from 1 to $n$, is called the jackknife estimator of $\theta$ corresponding to $\hat{\theta}$.

In the "smooth" case, $\hat{\theta}_{J}$ has smaller bias than $\hat{\theta}$ and is asymptotically normally distributed with estimated covariance matrix $n^{-1}\left\{(n-1)^{-1}\left(\hat{\theta}_{J, i}-\hat{\theta}_{j}\right)\left(\hat{\theta}_{J, i}-\hat{\theta}_{J}\right)^{t}\right\}$ (see Cox and Hinkley, 1975). The second of these two properties is useful in several ways:

1. $\hat{\theta}_{J}$ can be used to form distribution-free confidence intervals and hypothesis tests. For example, the classical $\chi^{2}$-based inference procedures for a population variance $\sigma^{2}$ are not robust with respect to the assumption that the sampled population is normally distributed. However, we can apply the jackknife procedure to the sample variance $s^{2}$ (or for greater accuracy, to $\log \left(s^{2}\right)$ ) to derive large-sample inference procedures which are valid without the normality assumption.
2. $\hat{\theta}_{J}$ can also be used to make some inferences more convenient. For example, suppose $\hat{\theta}=f\left(\hat{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\gamma}_{r}\right)$, where $f$ is a smooth, real-valued function and $\left(\hat{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\gamma}_{r}\right)^{t}$ is an asymptotically multivariate normally distributed estimator of $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right)^{t}$. Here we might consider making inferences on $\theta=f\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right)$ using the "delta method" (Rao, 1973, p. 388). However, this may be inconvenient if the derivatives of $f$ are complicated. Use of the jackknife procedure (with the algorithm presented here) may be much more convenient.
3. The pseudovalues $\hat{\theta}_{J, i}$ may be useful in detecting outliers and evaluating their influence on $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{J}$.
In some cases, the sample size $n$ may be too large for economical computation. Here one can use "jackknifing by groups" : Suppose $n=g l$ for positive integers $g$ and $l$. Divide the sample into $g$ groups of size $l$. In this version of the jackknife, $\hat{\theta}_{(-i)}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{J, i}$ are based on deletion of the $i$ th group of observations from the sample, rather than deletion of the $i$ th observation. Also, in the expressions for $\hat{\theta}_{J, i}, \hat{\theta}_{J}$ and the estimated covariance matrix of $\hat{\theta}_{J}$, the quantity $n$ is replaced everywhere by $g$.

A nice review paper on the jackknife is Miller (1974).
Structure
SUBROUTINE JKKN(X, K, N, TH, THJ, LTH, COV, PS, NGRP, IGSIZE, IFAULT)
Formal parameters
$X \quad$ Real array $(K, N)$

K
$N$
input: contains the sample $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}$; initially $X(J, I)$ contains the $J$ th component of $X_{I}$; the array is rearranged during computation input : number of components in each observation
input : sample size

| TH | Real array $(L T H)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| THJ | Real array $(L T H)$ |
| LTH |  |
| COV | Real array $(L T H, L T H)$ |
| $P S$ | Real array $(L T H, N G R P)$ |

NGRP

## IGSIZE <br> IFAULT

Auxiliary subroutines:
The base estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is calculated by the user-supplied subroutine THTHT, whose form should be

SUBROUTINE THTHT(X, K, M, TH, LTH)
REALX(K,M), TH(LTH)
[Here $\hat{\theta}$ is calculated, based on the first $M$ observations currently stored in $X$. The array $X$ is assumed to have the same structure as in JKKN, although $J K K N$ usually calls THTHT with $M$ equal to $N-I G S I Z E$ rather than $N$. The value $\hat{\theta}$ is returned as an output to $J K K N$ through the THTHTarray TH; all other parameters in THTHTare inputs to that routine.]
RETURN
END
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```
    * IGOSIZE, IFAULT)
C
C
C
C
REAL X(K, N), TH(LTH), THJ(LTH), COV(LTH, LTH), PS(LTH, NGRP)
    IFAULT == 1
    IF (NGRP .LE. 1) RETURN
    IFAULT == 0
    NGRP1 = NGRP - 1
    NN = NGRP1 * IGSIZE
    ENGRP = NGRP
    ENGRP1 = NGRP1
    ENN = ENGRP * ENGRP1
    IGSZ1 = IGSIZE + 1
    KI = K * IGSIZE
        KI = NUMBER OF X COMPONENTS IN A GROUP.
    LLN = NGRP1 * KI
        FIRST CALCULATE NGRP * THETAHAT AND STORE IT IN TH.
    CALL THTHT(X, K, N, TH, LTH)
    DO 10I = 1, LTH
10 TH(I) = ENGRP * TH(I)
C
C
C
C
C
C
        ALGIRITHM AS 148 APPL. STATIST. (1980) VOL.29, NO.1
    REMGNAL OF BIAS BY THE JACKKNIFE PROCEDURE
C
```

```
        IG1 =0
        DO 50 I = 1, NGRP
        IF (I .EQ. NGRP) GOTO 25
```

```
        IG2 = NN
```

        DO 20 IG \(=1\), IGSIZE
        IG1 \(=\mathbf{I G 1}+\mathbf{i}\)
        \(I G 2=I G 2+1\)
        DO \(15 \mathrm{~J}=1\), K
        TEMP \(=\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{IG} 2)\)
        \(X(J\), IGR) \(=X(J, ~ I G 1)\)
        \(X(J\), IG1 \()=\) TEMP
    15 CONTINUE
    20 CONTINUE
        IF (I .LT. NGRP) CALL THTHT(X, K, NN, THJ, LTH)
    25 IF (I 。EQ. NGRP) CALL THTHT(X (1, IGSZ1), K, NN, THJ, LTH)
    DO 35 II \(=1\), LTH
    \(35 \mathrm{PS}(\mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I})=\mathrm{TH}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{THJ}(\mathrm{II}) *\) ENGRP1
    50 CONTINUE
    C
C
C
C
C
C
C
$75 \operatorname{COV}(1, J)=0.0$
75 CONTINUE
CALCULATE JACKKNIFED VERSION OF THETAHAT
AND STORE IT IN THJ.
DO $100 \mathrm{I}=1$, NGRP
DO 90 II $=1$, ITH
$90 \operatorname{THJ}(I I)=\operatorname{THJ}(I I)+\operatorname{PS}(I I, I)$
100 CONTINUE
DO $110 \mathrm{II}=1$, LTH
$110 \mathrm{THJ}(\mathrm{II})=\mathrm{THJ}(\mathrm{II}) /$ ENGRP
C
C
C
C
C
DO 125 II $=1$, LTH
THJII $=$ THJ (II)
DO $125 \mathrm{JJ}=\mathrm{II}$, LTH
THJJJ $=$ THJ (JJ)
DO $115 \mathrm{I}=1$, NGRP
$\operatorname{COV}(I I, J J)=\operatorname{COV}(I I, J J)+$
* (PS(II, I) - THJII) * (PS(JJ, I) - THJJJ)
115 CONTINUE
115 CONTINUE
$\stackrel{\square}{c}$
C
C
C
C
C
C
CALCULATE THE APPROXIMATE COVARIANCE MATRIX OF
THE JACKKNIFED THETAHAT, AND STORE IT IN CON.
IF LTH .GT. 1, COPY THE UPPER-TRIANGULAR SECTION
OF COV INTO THE IOWER-TRIANGULAR SECTION.
IF (LTH .FQ. 1) GOTO 200
DO $175 \mathrm{II}=2$, LTH
III = II - 1
DO $175 \mathrm{JJ}=1$, 111
$\operatorname{COV}(I I, J J)=\operatorname{COV}(J J, I I)$
175 CONTINUE
200 DO 210 II $=1$, LTH
DO $210 \mathrm{JJ}=1$, LTH
$210 \operatorname{cov}(I I, J J)=\operatorname{cov}(I I, J J) / \operatorname{ENN}$
RETURN
END

